

$$Z = \int D\phi' e^{-H_0 - H_{int}}$$

$$= \int D\phi' e^{-H_0} \left( 1 - H_{int} + \frac{1}{2} H_{int}^2 + \dots \right)$$

expansion diverges for  $T \rightarrow T_c$  for  $d < 4$ .  
 For  $d > 4$  it becomes a very accurate expansion  
 for  $T \rightarrow T_c$  with a very small expansion  
 parameter. This does not imply that p.t.  
 converges

$$Z = \int d\phi e^{-H_0 - \int dx \frac{u_0'}{4} \phi^4}$$

This integral diverges if we replace  $u_0' \rightarrow -u_0'$   
 $\Rightarrow Z(u_0')$  has an essential singularity  
 at  $u_0' = 0$

Generally, p.t. diverges and is at best  
 an asymptotic series

ex  $\sum_n n! a^n$  converges under  $n! \approx a^n$

$\sum (i)^n n! a^n$  is Borel resummable

$\sum n! a^n$  is not

17b) Anomalous dimension

$r_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  is the only length scale

$\Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \propto r_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ \propto t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{array} \right.$   
correlation length

However, in general  $\left\{ \propto t^{-\nu} \right.$  with  $\nu \neq \frac{1}{2}$

Example 2d Ising model has  $\nu = 1$ .

How can we reconcile this?

This can only be done if we have another length scale in the problem. Indeed, this scale exists and is the microscopic scale such as the lattice spacing

Then we can have  $\left\{ \propto r_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} F(r_0 a^2) \right.$   
dimensionless

If  $F(x) \propto x^\theta$  for  $x \rightarrow 0$

then  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \propto r_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} (r_0 a^2)^\theta \propto r_0^{\theta - \frac{1}{2}} a^{2\theta} \\ \propto t^{\theta - \frac{1}{2}} a^{2\theta} \end{array} \right.$   
for  $t \rightarrow 0$

then  $\nu = \theta - \frac{1}{2}$

$\theta$  is called the anomalous dimension

(109)

This explains that  $a \ll \xi$  can enter  
in physical observables.  $F(\frac{a}{\xi})$  in physical  
quantities can only be replaced by  $F(0)$   
if  $F$  is regular at 0.

If  $F(\frac{a}{\xi}) \sim (\frac{a}{\xi})^\theta$  this cannot be done  
and  $a$  enters in the observable.

c) Field Theory

- time is replaced by  $it = \tau$   
then  $ds^2 = d\vec{r}^2 + d\tau^2$

Then there is no difference between space and time. Time is an extra dimension.  $d=4$

In field theory we do not have an underlying microscopic scale  $\Rightarrow$  for

$$H_{\text{eff}} = \int (\frac{1}{2} (\partial\phi)^2 + \frac{1}{2} r_0 \phi^2) d^d r$$

$$\langle \phi(r) | \phi(r') \rangle = \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{r_0 + k^2} e^{i\vec{k} \cdot (\vec{r} - \vec{r}')} e^{-k^0 (r - r')}$$

integral diverges for  $d \geq 2$

Quantum field theory limit

$$\lim_{\Lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\Lambda}^{\Lambda} \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{r_0(\Lambda) + k^2} e^{i\vec{k} \cdot (\vec{r} - \vec{r}')} e^{-k^0 (r - r')}$$

regularization: introduce cutoff so that all integrals are finite

renormalization: adjust  $r_0(\Lambda)$  so that the limit  $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$  can be taken if this can be done the theory is said to be renormalizable

18. Kadanoff theory

18a) Block spins

$$\beta H_{\Omega} = \underbrace{-\beta J}_{K} \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} S_i S_j - \underbrace{\beta H}_{h} \sum_i S_i$$

Our aim is to study critical exponents and we focus on the calculation of the singular part of the free energy

$$f_s(t, h) \quad \text{For } T \rightarrow T_c$$

For  $a \ll \xi \ll \xi(T)$ , the spins inside a block  $(\xi a)^d$  are roughly parallel

A Block spin is defined as the average spin of this block

$$S_I = \frac{1}{m_I} \frac{1}{\xi^d} \sum_{i \in I} S_i$$

$$\bar{m}_I = \frac{1}{\xi^d} \left| \sum_{i \in I} \langle S_i \rangle \right| \Rightarrow \langle S_I \rangle = \pm 1$$

Hyp. thesis: the block spins also interact via a nearest neighbor interaction  $\propto \xi^{-d}$

$$\Rightarrow -\beta H_{\Omega} = K_{\xi} \sum_{\langle IJ \rangle} S_I S_J + h_{\xi} \sum_{I=1} S_I$$

The correlation of length is a fixed length at a given temperature

$$\xi = \xi_e a_e \Rightarrow \xi_e = \frac{\xi_c}{l}$$

↑  
correlation length in units  
of the new lattice spacing  $a_e$

But now the correlation length is shorter  
 $\Rightarrow t_e$  is further away from  $T_c$  and  $|t_e| > |t|$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{magnetic field} \quad h \sum_i S_i &\approx h \sum_I \sum_{i \in I} S_i \\ &= h \sum_I l^d |\bar{m}_I| \sum_I S_I \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow h_e = h \bar{m}_e l^d$$

Hamiltonian is the same  $\Rightarrow$  functional  
dependence of the free energy is the same

$$\Rightarrow N F_s(t, h) = \underbrace{N l^{-d}}_{\substack{\# \text{ of links} \\ \text{second assumption}}} F_s(t_e, h_e)$$

$$\begin{aligned} t_e &= t e^{y_t} & y_t > 0 \\ h_e &= h e^{y_h} & y_h > 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Scaling relation} \quad f_s(t, h) = l^{-d} f_s(t_e, h_e)$$

$l$  is a free parameter that can be chosen

Then if we choose  $l = |t|^{-1/y_t}$   
we have

$$f_s(t, h) = |t|^{-\frac{d}{y_t}} f_s(1, h |t|^{-y_h/y_t})$$